

PhD Defense

Singular drift SDEs: density estimates and weak discretization

Mathis Fitoussi - Université d'Évry-Paris-Saclay
Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Modélisation d'Évry (LaMME)

Under the supervision of S. Menozzi (LaMME)

- 1 Regularization by noise, Well-Posedness of the SDEs
- 2 Discretization of singular drift SDEs
- 3 Bibliography

Notations

We consider the formal SDE

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + dZ_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (\text{E})$$

where

- $b \in L^r([0, T], \mathbb{B}_{p,q}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$ is some *singular* drift.
- Z_t is an α -stable symmetric process $\alpha \in (1, 2]$.

Notations

We consider the formal SDE

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + dZ_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (\text{E})$$

where

- $b \in L^r([0, T], \mathbb{B}_{p,q}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$ is some *singular* drift.
- Z_t is an α -stable symmetric process $\alpha \in (1, 2]$.

We denote by $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$ the generator of the noise and p_α its density

$$p_\alpha(t, z) := \begin{cases} C_\alpha t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right)^{-(d+\alpha)} & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, 2) \\ (2\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|z|^2}{2t}\right) & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \end{cases}, \quad t > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Notations

We consider the formal SDE

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + dZ_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (E)$$

where

- $b \in L^r([0, T], \mathbb{B}_{p,q}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$ is some *singular* drift.
- Z_t is an α -stable symmetric process $\alpha \in (1, 2]$.

We denote by $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$ the generator of the noise and p_α its density

$$p_\alpha(t, z) := \begin{cases} C_\alpha t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right)^{-(d+\alpha)} & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, 2) \\ (2\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|z|^2}{2t}\right) & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \end{cases}, \quad t > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Self-similarity index α^{-1}

Heuristics for weak well-posedness

For $b \in \mathbb{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \approx \mathcal{C}^{\beta}$, we work on the PDE

$$(\partial_t + b \cdot \nabla + \mathcal{L}^{\alpha})u(t, x) = f(t, x) \text{ on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \quad (1)$$

where f is some smooth source term.

- If $\beta > 0$, the condition $\alpha + \beta > 1$ is required to give a meaning to ∇u

Heuristics for weak well-posedness - $\beta > 0$

For $b \in \mathbb{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \approx \mathcal{C}^{\beta}$, we work on the PDE

$$(\partial_t + b \cdot \nabla + \mathcal{L}^{\alpha})u(t, x) = f(t, x) \text{ on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \quad (2)$$

where f is some smooth source term.

- If $\beta > 0$, the condition $\alpha + \beta > 1$ is required to give a meaning to ∇u
 - [CZZ21] : $b \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$: weak WP under $\beta + \alpha > 1$.
 - [Pri12] : $b \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$: strong WP under $\beta + \frac{\alpha}{2} > 1$.
 - [Por94], [PP95] : $b \in L^p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-d/p}$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, weak WP under $\frac{d}{p} < \alpha - 1$.
 - [KR05] : $b \in L_t^q - L_x^p$, $\alpha = 2$, strong WP under $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$.

Heuristics for weak well-posedness - $\beta < 0$

For $b \in \mathbb{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \approx \mathcal{C}^{\beta}$, we work on the PDE

$$(\partial_t + b \cdot \nabla + \mathcal{L}^{\alpha})u(t, x) = f(t, x) \text{ on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \quad (3)$$

where f is some smooth source term.

- If $\beta > 0$, the condition $\alpha + \beta > 1$ is required to give a meaning to ∇u
- If $\beta < 0$, in order to make sense of $b \cdot \nabla u$, we need $\beta + (\beta + \alpha - 1) > 0$

$$\text{i.e. } \beta > \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}$$

- [FIR17] : $b \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}, \alpha = 2$, WP (virtual solutions) under $\beta > -\frac{1}{2}$.
- [ABM20] : $b \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}, \alpha \in (1, 2)$, 1D strong WP under $\beta > \frac{1-\alpha}{2}$.
- [CdRM22] : $b \in L^r - \mathbb{B}_{p,q}^{\beta}$, weak WP under

$$2\beta + \alpha - \frac{d}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{r} > 1$$

Discretization of singular drift SDEs

Discretization of singular drift SDEs

Aim : approach (E) with

$$X_{t_{k+1}}^h = X_{t_k}^h + hb(t_k, X_{t_k}^h) + (Z_{t_{k+1}} - Z_{t_k}).$$

Or, equivalently, its continuous in time version :

$$dX_t^h = b(\tau_t^h, X_{\tau_t^h}^h)dt + dZ_t,$$

where $\tau_t^h = h\lfloor t/h \rfloor$, i.e. if $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$, $\tau_t^h = t_k$.

Discretization of singular drift SDEs

Aim : approach (E) with

$$X_{t_{k+1}}^h = X_{t_k}^h + hb(t_k, X_{t_k}^h) + (Z_{t_{k+1}} - Z_{t_k}).$$

Or, equivalently, its continuous in time version :

$$dX_t^h = b(\tau_t^h, X_{\tau_t^h}^h)dt + dZ_t.$$

- Strong error :

$$\left\| \sup_{t \in (0, T)} |X_t - X_t^h| \right\|_{L^r(\Omega)}, \quad r > 1$$

Discretization of singular drift SDEs

Aim : approach (E) with

$$X_{t_{k+1}}^h = X_{t_k}^h + hb(t_k, X_{t_k}^h) + (Z_{t_{k+1}} - Z_{t_k}).$$

Or, equivalently, its continuous in time version :

$$dX_t^h = b(\tau_t^h, X_{\tau_t^h}^h)dt + dZ_t$$

- Strong error :

$$\left\| \sup_{t \in (0, T)} |X_t - X_t^h| \right\|_{L^r(\Omega)}, \quad r > 1$$

- Weak error with a test function :

$$|\mathbb{E}_{0,x}[g(X_t^h) - g(X_t)]|$$

Weak error on densities (i.e. $g = \delta_y$) :

$$|\Gamma^h(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma(0, x, t, y)|$$

A few important results - Gaussian setting ($\alpha = 2$)

Using PDE techniques :

- [BJ20] $b \in L^\infty$ total variation error : $h^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
- [JM24] $b \in L^q - L^p$ under $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$, weak error : $h^{\frac{1 - \frac{d}{p} - \frac{2}{q}}{2}}$.

Using the stochastic sewing lemma ([Lê20]) :

- [LL21] $b \in L^q - L^p$ under $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$, strong error : $h^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln(h)$.
- [DGL22] $b \in L^\infty \cap \dot{W}_m^\beta$, strong error : $h^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}-}$.
- [Hol24] $b \in L^\infty \cap \mathcal{C}^\beta$, weak error on $\mathcal{C}^\beta$ test functions : $h^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}-}$.

Sewing techniques for strong error

In a brownian noise setting, the sewing lemma ([Lê20]) allows to bound expressions of the type

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t b(s, X_s^h) - b(s, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) ds \right|^r \right], \quad (4)$$

which appear when computing strong error rates.

Sewing techniques for strong error

In a brownian noise setting, the sewing lemma ([Lê20]) allows to bound expressions of the type

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t b(s, X_s^h) - b(s, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) ds \right|^r \right], \quad (4)$$

which appear when computing strong error rates.

- Only works for $\alpha = 2$ (also works in a non-Markovian setting).
- Integrability requirements on b .
- The resulting bound *does not* take advantage of the full parabolic bootstrap of the underlying PDE.

Weak error techniques

Let u be a solution to

$$(\partial_s + b(s, x) \cdot \nabla_x + \mathcal{L}^\alpha) u(s, x) = 0 \text{ on } [0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad u(t, \cdot) = g \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(g, t, x, h) &= \mathbb{E}_{0,x}[g(X_t^h) - g(X_t)] = \mathbb{E}_{0,x}[u(t, X_t^h) - u(0, x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{0,x} \left[\int_0^t \left(b(r, X_r^h) - b(\tau_r^h, X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \right) \cdot \nabla u(r, X_r^h) \right] dr. \end{aligned}$$

Weak error techniques

Let u be a solution to

$$(\partial_s + b(s, x) \cdot \nabla_x + \mathcal{L}^\alpha) u(s, x) = 0 \text{ on } [0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad u(t, \cdot) = g \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(g, t, x, h) &= \mathbb{E}_{0,x}[g(X_t^h) - g(X_t)] = \mathbb{E}_{0,x}[u(t, X_t^h) - u(0, x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{0,x} \left[\int_0^t \left(b(r, X_r^h) - b(\tau_r^h, X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \right) \cdot \nabla u(r, X_r^h) \right] dr. \end{aligned}$$

Historical approach by [MP91] : for $b \in \mathcal{C}^\beta$

- Schauder estimates for u : $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$
- Use the regularity of b to control $\left| b(r, X_r^h) - b(\tau_r^h, X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \right|$

Weak error techniques

Let u be a solution to

$$(\partial_s + b(s, x) \cdot \nabla_x + \mathcal{L}^\alpha) u(s, x) = 0 \text{ on } [0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad u(t, \cdot) = g \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(g, t, x, h) &= \mathbb{E}_{0,x}[g(X_t^h) - g(X_t)] = \mathbb{E}_{0,x}[u(t, X_t^h) - u(0, x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{0,x} \left[\int_0^t \left(b(r, X_r^h) - b(\tau_r^h, X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \right) \cdot \nabla u(r, X_r^h) \right] dr. \end{aligned}$$

Historical approach by [MP91] : for $b \in \mathcal{C}^\beta$

- Schauder estimates for u : $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$
- Use the regularity of b to control $\left| b(r, X_r^h) - b(\tau_r^h, X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \right|$

$$\mathcal{E}(g, t, x, h) \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{0,x} \left[|X_r^h - X_{\tau_r^h}^h|^\beta \right] dr \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} h^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}. \quad (5)$$

Weak error techniques

Compare the Duhamel formulas for Γ^h and Γ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma^h(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma(0, x, t, y) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{0,x} \left[\int_0^t b(s, X_s) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t-s, y - X_s) - b(\tau_s^h, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t-s, y - X_s^h) ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

When b is singular, the main difficulty lies in controlling

$$b(\cdot, X_s) - b(\cdot, X_{\tau_s^h}^h)$$

and

$$b(s, \cdot) - b(\tau_s^h, \cdot)$$

Weak error techniques - $b(s, X_s) - b(s, X_{\tau_s^h})$

In the splitting of the error, we write the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{0,x} \left[\int_0^t b(s, X_s) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t-s, y - X_s) - b(s, X_{\tau_s^h}) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t-s, y - X_{\tau_s^h}) ds \right] \\ = \int_0^t \int [\Gamma(0, x, s, z) - \Gamma(0, x, \tau_s^h, z)] b(s, z) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t-s, y - z) dz ds \end{aligned}$$

Weak error techniques - $b(s, X_s) - b(s, X_{\tau_s^h})$

In the splitting of the error, we write the following

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{0,x} \left[\int_0^t [b(s, X_s) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t-s, y - X_s) - b(s, X_{\tau_s^h}) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t-s, y - X_{\tau_s^h})] ds \right] \\ = \int_0^t \int [\Gamma(0, x, s, z) - \Gamma(0, x, \tau_s^h, z)] b(s, z) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t-s, y - z) dz ds\end{aligned}$$

Using bootstrap techniques on the PDE, we can prove

$$|\Gamma(0, x, s, z) - \Gamma(0, x, \tau_s^h, z)| \leq C \frac{(s - \tau_s^h)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}}{(\tau_s^h)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}} p_\alpha(\tau_s^h, z - x) \leq C \frac{h^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}}{(\tau_s^h)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}} p_\alpha(\tau_s^h, z - x)$$

where γ is the *gap to singularity* :

$$\gamma = \alpha - 1 - \frac{d}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{q} > 0$$

Lebesgue, $b \in L^q - L^p$

$$\gamma = \alpha + \beta - 1 > 0$$

Holder, $b \in \mathcal{C}^\beta$

$$\gamma = 2\beta + \alpha - 1 - \frac{d}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{q} > 0$$

Negative Besov, $b \in L^q - \mathbb{B}_{p,r}^\beta$

Theorem ([F.,2023])

Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the solution to (E) and $(x_t)_{t \in [s, T]}$ the associated canonical process. Then, $\forall t \in (s, T]$, x_t admits a density $\Gamma(s, t, x, \cdot)$ s.t. $\exists C \geq 1 : \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$C^{-1}p_\alpha(t-s, y-x) \leq \Gamma(s, t, x, y) \leq Cp_\alpha(t-s, y-x),$$

$$|\nabla_x \Gamma(s, t, x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} p_\alpha(t-s, y-x),$$

$$|\Gamma(s, t, x, y) - \Gamma(s, t, x, y')| \leq \frac{C|y - y'|^\rho}{(t-s)^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}} (p_\alpha(t-s, y-x) + p_\alpha(t-s, y'-x)),$$

$$|\nabla_x \Gamma(s, t, x, y) - \nabla_x \Gamma(s, t, x, y')| \leq \frac{C|y - y'|^\rho}{(t-s)^{\frac{\rho+1}{\alpha}}} (p_\alpha(t-s, x-y) + p_\alpha(t-s, x-y')),$$

for any $\rho \in (-\beta, -\beta + \gamma/2)$, where $\gamma := 2\beta + \alpha - 1 - \alpha/r - d/p > 0$

Theorem ([F.,2025])

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, -\beta)$ and $\eta \in (-\beta, -\beta + \varepsilon/2)$, for $t' > t$ such that $(t' - t) < t/2$,

$$\left\| \frac{\Gamma(s, x, t, \cdot) - \Gamma(s, x, t', \cdot)}{p_\alpha(t - s, \cdot - x)} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}_{\infty, \infty}^\eta} \leq C \frac{(t' - t)^{\frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{\alpha}}}{(t - s)^{\frac{\gamma - \varepsilon - \beta}{\alpha}}} \quad (6)$$

Theorem ([F.,2025])

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, -\beta)$ and $\eta \in (-\beta, -\beta + \varepsilon/2)$, for $t' > t$ such that $(t' - t) < t/2$,

$$\left\| \frac{\Gamma(s, x, t, \cdot) - \Gamma(s, x, t', \cdot)}{p_\alpha(t - s, \cdot - x)} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}_{\infty, \infty}^\eta} \leq C \frac{(t' - t)^{\frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{\alpha}}}{(t - s)^{\frac{\gamma - \varepsilon - \beta}{\alpha}}} \quad (7)$$

Going back to the scheme, we can use this to write the following :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int [\Gamma(0, x, s, z) - \Gamma(0, x, \tau_s^h, z)] b(s, z) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - s, y - z) dz ds \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t \frac{(s - \tau_s^h)^{\frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{\alpha}}}{(\tau_s^h)^{\frac{\gamma - \varepsilon - \beta}{\alpha}}} \int p_\alpha(\tau_s^h, z - x) b(s, z) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - s, y - z) dz ds \end{aligned}$$

Weak error techniques - $b(s, X_s) - b(s, X_{\tau_s^h})$

It remains to show the boundedness of

$$\int_0^t \int p_\alpha(s, z - x) b(s, z) \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - s, y - z) dz ds.$$

If $b \in \mathfrak{H}$ in space, we have to estimate

$$\|p_\alpha(s, \cdot - x) \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - s, y - \cdot)\|_{\mathfrak{H}^*},$$

and recoup singularities in s and $t - s$, which we want to integrate against $\|b(s, \cdot)\|_{\mathfrak{H}}$.

Weak error techniques - $b(s, X_s) - b(\tau_s^h, X_s)$

Natural time-space scaling :

When $b(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^\beta$ in space, we usually assume $b(\cdot, z) \in \mathcal{C}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$, i.e.

$$|b(s, X_s) - b(\tau_s^h, X_s)| \leq (s - \tau_s^h)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$$

Not enough to show a convergence with order

$$\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}$$

Weak error techniques - $b(s, X_s) - b(\tau_s^h, X_s)$

Time-randomized Euler scheme :

$$X_{t_{k+1}}^h = X_{t_k}^h + hb(\mathbf{U}_k, X_{t_k}^h) + (Z_{t_{k+1}} - Z_{t_k}),$$

where $(U_k) \sim \mathcal{U}([t_k, t_{k+1}])$.

Duhamel integral over one time-step for the time-randomized Euler scheme :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left[b(U_k, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - U_k, y - X_{\tau_s^h}^h) \right] ds \\ &= \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left[b(r, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - r, y - X_{\tau_s^h}^h) \right] dr ds \\ &= \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left[b(r, X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - r, y - X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \right] dr ds \\ &= \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left[b(r, X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - r, y - X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \right] dr. \end{aligned}$$

Results - Hölder case , $\alpha \in (1, 2]$

Theorem ([F., Menozzi, 2024])

For $b \in L^\infty - \mathcal{C}^\beta, \beta > 0$, we define the Euler scheme by

$$X_{t_{k+1}}^h = X_{t_k}^h + hb(U_k, X_{t_k}^h) + (Z_{t_{k+1}} - Z_{t_k}),$$

where $\forall k, U_k \sim \mathcal{U}(t_k, t_{k+1})$. Define

$$\gamma = \beta + \alpha - 1 > 0.$$

Then,

$$|\Gamma(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma^h(0, x, t, y)| \leq Ch^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}(1 + t^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}})p_\alpha(t, y - x) \quad (8)$$

Results - Lebesgue case, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$

Theorem ([F., Jourdain, Menozzi, 2024])

For $b \in L^q - L^p$, if

$$\gamma = \alpha - 1 - \frac{d}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{q} > 0,$$

then equation

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + dZ_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

is weakly well-posed (in the sense of the martingale problem).

Theorem ([F., Jourdain, Menozzi, 2024])

For $b \in L^q - L^p$, we define the Euler scheme by

$$X_{t_{k+1}}^h = X_{t_k}^h + hb_h(U_k, X_{t_k}^h) + (Z_{t_{k+1}} - Z_{t_k}),$$

where b_h is a cut-offed b s.t. $|b_h| \leq h^{-\frac{d}{\alpha p} - \frac{1}{q}}$ and $\forall k, U_k \sim \mathcal{U}(t_k, t_{k+1})$. Then,

$$|\Gamma(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma^h(0, x, t, y)| \leq Ch^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} p_{\alpha}(t, y - x) \quad (9)$$

Besov case, dynamics of the SDE

Proposition (Dynamics of the distributional SDE, [DD16],[CdRM22])

Assume

$$\alpha \in \left(\frac{1 + \frac{d}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{r}}, 2 \right) \quad \beta \in \left(\frac{1 - \alpha + \frac{2d}{p} + \frac{2\alpha}{r}}{2}, 0 \right), \quad (\text{GRD})$$

Then, the formal SDE

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + dZ_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

rewrites

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s, ds) + Z_t,$$

where $\forall 0 \leq v \leq s \leq T$,

$$b(v, x, s - v) = \int_v^s \int b(r, y) p_\alpha(r - v, y - x) dy dr$$

Besov case, definition of the scheme

Definition of the scheme :

$$\begin{aligned}X_{t_{i+1}}^h &= X_{t_i}^h + \mathfrak{b}(t_i, X_{t_i}^h, h) + (Z_{t_{i+1}} - Z_{t_i}) \\&= X_{t_i}^h + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int b(r, y) p_\alpha(r - t_i, y - X_{t_i}^h) dy dr + (Z_{t_{i+1}} - Z_{t_i})\end{aligned}$$

Besov case, definition of the scheme

Definition of the scheme :

$$\begin{aligned}X_{t_{i+1}}^h &= X_{t_i}^h + \mathfrak{b}(t_i, X_{t_i}^h, h) + (Z_{t_{i+1}} - Z_{t_i}) \\&= X_{t_i}^h + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int b(r, y) p_\alpha(r - t_i, y - X_{t_i}^h) dy dr + (Z_{t_{i+1}} - Z_{t_i})\end{aligned}$$

→ case dependent !

Results - Besov case , $\alpha \in (1, 2)$

Theorem ([F., Menozzi, Issoglio, 2025])

For $b \in L^r - \mathbb{B}_{p,q}^\beta, \beta < 0$, using the previously defined Euler scheme, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$|\Gamma(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma^h(0, x, t, y)| \leq Ch^{\frac{\gamma-\varepsilon}{\alpha}} (1 + t^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}) p_\alpha(t, y - x) \quad (10)$$

where $\gamma = 2\beta + \alpha - 1 - d/p - \alpha/r > 0$.

Results - Besov case , $\alpha \in (1, 2)$

Theorem ([F., Menozzi, Issoglio, 2025])

For $b \in L^r - \mathbb{B}_{p,q}^\beta, \beta < 0$, using the previously defined Euler scheme, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$|\Gamma(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma^h(0, x, t, y)| \leq Ch^{\frac{\gamma-\varepsilon}{\alpha}} (1 + t^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}) p_\alpha(t, y - x) \quad (11)$$

where $\gamma = 2\beta + \alpha - 1 - d/p - \alpha/r > 0$.

- Strictly stable setting only (although method is robust enough for gaussian case)
- Loss of ε due to the distributional setting
- Gap is the same as for well-posedness

Besov case, remark on the dynamics of the scheme

We are able to define the scheme under the condition

$$\alpha \in \left(\frac{1 + \frac{d}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{r}}, 2 \right) \quad \beta \in \left(\frac{1 - \alpha + \frac{d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{r}}{2}, 0 \right), \quad (12)$$

and show tension and convergence of the law of (X_s^h) to some law which solves the SDE.

Besov case, remark on the dynamics of the scheme

We are able to define the scheme under the condition

$$\alpha \in \left(\frac{1 + \frac{d}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{r}}, 2 \right) \quad \beta \in \left(\frac{1 - \alpha + \frac{d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{r}}{2}, 0 \right), \quad (13)$$

and show tension and convergence of the law of (X_s^h) to some law which solves the SDE.

If

$$\beta \in \left(\frac{1 - \alpha + \frac{d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{r}}{2}, \frac{1 - \alpha + \frac{2d}{p} + \frac{2\alpha}{r}}{2} \right), \quad (14)$$

the laws still converge but we cannot make sense of the Young integral in the previous proposition.

Besov case as a consequence of the Hölder case ?

- Hölder : $|\Gamma(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma^h(0, x, t, y)| \leq C[b]_{C^\beta} h^{\frac{\beta+\alpha-1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha(t, y-x)$
- Negative Besov regularity : let $b \in L^r([0, T], \mathbb{B}_{p,q}^\eta)$, $\eta < 0$ and set

$$\mathfrak{b}(s, z, h) := \frac{1}{h} \int_s^{s+h} \int b(u, y) p_\alpha(u-s, y-z) dy du.$$

Then, $\mathfrak{b}(s, \cdot, h)$ is β -Hölder with $\beta > 0$ and its Hölder modulus

$$|\mathfrak{b}(s, z, h) - \mathfrak{b}(s, z', h)| \leq C |z - z'|^\beta h^{-\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\eta}{\alpha} - \frac{d}{\alpha p}}$$

Besov case as a consequence of the Hölder case ?

$$dX_t^{h_1, h_2} = \mathfrak{b}(U_k, X_{\tau_t^{h_1}}^{h_1, h_2}, h_2)dt + dZ_t \quad \text{Scheme for Holder SDE}$$

$$\uparrow\downarrow (1)$$

$$dX_t^{h_2} = \mathfrak{b}(t, X_t^{h_2}, h_2)dt + dZ_t \quad \text{Holder SDE}$$

$$\uparrow\downarrow (2)$$

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + dZ_t \quad \text{Besov SDE}$$

- Hölder result : weak error on densities for (1) with rate $\frac{\eta + \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{r} - \frac{d}{p} - 1}{\alpha}$ w.r.t. h_1 .
- How to handle (2) is still unclear : taking $h_1 = h_2$ yields a lower rate, may be possible to optimize.

Thank you for your attention

Special thanks to Stephane, Adèle-Rose, Marc & Nicole for carrying me this far



Siva Athreya, Oleg Butkovsky, and Leonid Mytnik.

Strong existence and uniqueness for stable stochastic differential equations with distributional drift.

Ann. Probab., 48(1) :178–210, 2020.



Oumaima Bencheikh and Benjamin Jourdain.

Convergence in total variation of the euler-maruyama scheme applied to diffusion processes with measurable drift coefficient and additive noise, 2020.



Paul-Éric Chaudru de Raynal and Stéphane Menozzi.

On multidimensional stable-driven stochastic differential equations with Besov drift.

Electronic Journal of Probability, 27(none) :1 – 52, 2022.



Zhen-Qing Chen, Xicheng Zhang, and Guohuan Zhao.

Supercritical SDEs driven by multiplicative stable-like Lévy processes.

Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 374(11) :7621–7655, 2021.



François Delarue and Roland Diel.

Rough paths and 1d SDE with a time dependent distributional drift : application to polymers.

Probability Theory and Related Fields, 165(1) :1–63, Jun 2016.

Bibliographie II



Konstantinos Dareiotis, Máté Gerencsér, and Khoa Le.

Quantifying a convergence theorem of gyöngy and krylov, 2022.



Franco Flandoli, Elena Issoglio, and Francesco Russo.

Multidimensional stochastic differential equations with distributional drift.
Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 369 :1665–1688, 2017.



Teodor Holland.

A note on the weak rate of convergence for the Euler-Maruyama scheme with Hölder drift.
Stoch. Proc and Appl., 174, paper #104379, 2024.



Benjamin Jourdain and Stéphane Menozzi.

Convergence Rate of the Euler-Maruyama Scheme Applied to Diffusion Processes with $L^q - L^p$ Drift Coefficient and Additive Noise.
Annals of Applied Probability, 34–1b :1663–1697, 2024.



Nikolay Krylov and Michael Röckner.

Strong solutions of stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift.
Probab. Theory Related Fields, 131(2) :154–196, 2005.



Khoa Lê.

A stochastic sewing lemma and applications.
Electronic Journal of Probability, 25(none) :1 – 55, 2020.



Khoa Le and Chengcheng Ling.

Taming singular stochastic differential equations : A numerical method, 2021.



Remigijus Mikulevicius and Eckhard Platen.

Rate of convergence of the Euler approximation for diffusion processes.
Mathematische Nachrichten, 1991.

Bibliographie III



N. I. Portenko.

Some perturbations of drift-type for symmetric stable processes.

Random Oper. Stochastic Equations, 2(3) :211–224, 1994.



S. I. Podolynny and N. I. Portenko.

On multidimensional stable processes with locally unbounded drift.

Random Oper. Stochastic Equations, 3(2) :113–124, 1995.



Enrico Priola.

Pathwise uniqueness for singular SDEs driven by stable processes.

Osaka Journal of Mathematics, pages 421 – 447, 2012.

Definition (Weak solution with bounded drift)

A stochastic process $(X_t, \mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a weak solution to (E) with initial distribution μ if there exists a $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted process $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on some (possibly different) $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathbb{P}')$ such that

(i) $\mathbb{P}(X_0 \in \cdot) = \mu(\cdot)$

(ii) For all $t \geq 0$,

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + (Z_t - Z_0) \quad (15)$$

holds almost surely.

Definition (Bounded drift martingale problem)

Let b be a bounded drift.

A probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ on Ω_α with time-marginals $(P_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, solves the martingale problem related to $b \cdot \nabla + \mathcal{L}^\alpha$ and the initial probability measure μ if, denoting by $(\xi_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ the associated canonical process,

- (i) $P_0 = \mu$,
- (ii) for all $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}$ function f on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded together with its derivatives, the process

$$\left\{ f(t, \xi_t) - \int_0^t \left((\partial_s + \mathcal{L}^\alpha) f(s, \xi_s) + b(s, \xi_s) \cdot \nabla f(s, \xi_s) \right) ds - f(0, \xi_0) \right\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}, \quad (16)$$

is a $\mathbb{P}$ -martingale.

Definition (Lebesgue drift martingale problem)

Let $b \in L^q - L^p$ be a Lebesgue drift.

A probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ on Ω_α with time-marginals $(P_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, solves the martingale problem related to $b \cdot \nabla + \mathcal{L}^\alpha$ and the initial probability measure μ if, denoting by $(\xi_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ the associated canonical process,

- (i) $P_0 = \mu$,
- (ii) for a.a. $t \in (0, T]$, $P_t(dy) = \rho(t, y)dy$ for some $\rho \in L^{q'}((0, T], L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d))$,
- (iii) for all $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}$ function f on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded together with its derivatives, the process

$$\left\{ f(t, \xi_t) - \int_0^t \left((\partial_s + \mathcal{L}^\alpha) f(s, \xi_s) + b(s, \xi_s) \cdot \nabla f(s, \xi_s) \right) ds - f(0, \xi_0) \right\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}, \quad (17)$$

is a $\mathbb{P}$ -martingale.

Definition (Mild solution of the underlying PDE)

Let $b \in L^r - \mathbb{B}_{p,q}^\beta$ be a Besov drift. Let $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

For a given $T > 0$, we say that $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a mild solution of the formal Cauchy problem $\mathcal{C}(b, \mathcal{L}^\alpha, \phi, g, T)$

$$(\partial_t + b \cdot \nabla + \mathcal{L}^\alpha) f(t, x) = \phi(t, x) \text{ on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f(T, \cdot) = g \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

if it belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ with $\nabla f \in \mathcal{C}_b^0([0, T], \mathbb{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{\theta-1-\varepsilon})$ for any $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and $\theta = \beta + \alpha - \frac{d}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{r}$, and if it satisfies

$$\forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, f(t, x) = P_{T-t}^\alpha[g](x) - \int_t^T P_{s-t}^\alpha[\phi - b \cdot \nabla f](s, x) ds, \quad (18)$$

where P_t^α denotes the semi-group generated by $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$.

Definition (Besov drift martingale problem)

Let $b \in L^r - \mathbb{B}_{p,q}^\beta$ be a Besov drift.

We say that a probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ on Ω_α equipped with its canonical filtration is a solution of the martingale problem associated with $(b, \mathcal{L}^\alpha, x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if, denoting by $(\xi_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ the associated canonical process,

- (i) $\mathbb{P}(\xi_0 = x) = 1$,
- (ii) $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}))$, $g \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ with $\nabla g \in \mathbb{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{\theta-1}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta = \beta + \alpha - d/p - \alpha/r$, the process

$$\left\{ f(t, \xi_t) - \int_0^t \phi(s, \xi_s) ds - f(0, x) \right\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$$

is a (square-integrable if $\alpha = 2$) martingale under $\mathbb{P}$ where f is the mild solution of the Cauchy problem $\mathcal{C}(b, \mathcal{L}^\alpha, \phi, g, T)$.

Definition (Weak solution with distributional drift)

A weak solution to the formal distributional drift SDE (E) is a pair (X, Z) of adapted processes on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that Z is an α -stable process under $\mathbb{P}$ and, almost surely under $\mathbb{P}$,

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{F}(s, X_s, ds) + Z_t, \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{F}(s, X_s, ds) \right| < \infty. \quad (19)$$

where, for any $0 \leq v \leq s \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathcal{F}(v, x, s - v) := \int_v^s \int b(r, y) p_\alpha(r - v, y - x) dy dr \quad (20)$$

and the integral in (19) is understood as an L^ℓ stochastic Young integral for any $\ell \in (1, \alpha]$.

Test functions 1

Let us mention that if one is interested in the weak error for some test function f ,

$$\mathcal{E}(f, x, t, h) := \mathbb{E}_{0,x}[f(X_t^h) - f(X_t)],$$

as soon as f is δ -Hölder (not necessarily bounded) then, a rate can be derived as a consequence of the convergence of $|\Gamma(s, x, t, y) - \Gamma^h(s, x, t, y)|$ using a simple cancellation argument :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(f, x, t, h) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Gamma^h - \Gamma)(0, x, t, y) f(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Gamma^h - \Gamma)(0, x, t, y) (f(y) - f(x)) dy, \\ |\mathcal{E}(f, x, t, h)| &\lesssim h^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{\alpha}(t, y - x) |x - y|^{\delta} dy \\ &\lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} h^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$