

Enlarging the 2D - Brownian filtration with a subordinated perpetuity.

H. Matsumoto and M. Yor.

1)

1. Introduction.

(11) Let $(B_t, t \geq 0)$ and $(\gamma_t, t \geq 0)$ be two independent 1-dimensional Brownian motions, for $\mu < 0$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the associated Brownian motions with respective drifts μ and ν :

$$B_t^{(\mu)} = B_t + \mu t, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_t^{(\nu)} = \gamma_t + \nu t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and we define the process:
$$X_t^{(\mu, \nu)} = \int_0^t d\gamma_s^{(\nu)} \exp(B_s^{(\mu)}), \quad t \geq 0.$$

It has recently been remarked ([1], [6]) that the law of the so-called subordinated perpetuity:

$$X^{(\mu, \nu)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_{\infty}^{(\mu, \nu)} \quad (\text{which is well defined, since } \mu < 0)$$

is the generic type IV Pearson distribution (Pearson [4], Johnson-Kotz [2], Chapter 12), Wong [5], ...); precisely, one has the following

Theorem 1 ([1], [6]): the law of $X^{(\mu, \nu)}$ admits the

density: (1)
$$f_{\mu, \nu}(x) = \frac{C_{\mu, \nu}}{(1+x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-\mu}} \exp(2\nu \arctan(x))$$

For simplicity, we shall now write only f for $f_{\mu, \nu}$; the following quantities will play an important role in the sequel:

Il faut donner la Cte; en particulier, $C_{\mu, 0} = ?$

(Voir de l'autre papier).

$$\left. \frac{1}{\int_0^t ds \exp(\alpha B_s^{(\mu)})}, t > 0 \right\} \stackrel{\text{(law)}}{=} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_0^t ds \exp(\alpha B_s^{(-\mu)})} + \frac{1}{\int_0^\infty ds \exp(\alpha \tilde{B}_s^{(\mu)})}, t > 0 \right.$$

where, on the RHS, $B^{(-\mu)}$ denotes a Brownian motion with positive drift $(-\mu)$, and $\tilde{B}^{(\mu)}$ a copy of $B^{(\mu)}$, assumed to be independent of $B^{(-\mu)}$.

(1.2) Our motivation to develop this case study of enlargements come from two origins, at least:

a) there is presently a lot of interest in Mathematical Finance to study how inside trading may modify the pricing framework on a given market; mathematically, this may be translated in terms of an enlargement of filtration;

b) we hope the present study may help us to develop further extensions of Pitman's theorems just as [3] led us to [3']

2. Proof of Theorem 2.

(to be developed more explicitly)

(2.1) The presentation of the initial enlargement formula given in Chapt. 12, p. 33-34 of [7] applies (with only one change, made necessary by the fact that our filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is generated by a 2D-Brownian motion instead of a 1D one).

We may summarize this presentation as follows:

denoting $\phi_x(t) \equiv \phi(t, x)$ the density of the conditional distribution of X given \mathcal{F}_t , and writing:

$$\phi_x(t) = \phi_x(0) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t (\rho_1(s, x) dB_{1s} + \rho_2(s, x) dY_{1s}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t ds [\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2](s, x) \right\}$$

a generic $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ martingale:

$$M_t \equiv \int_0^t (m_1(s) dB_{1s} + m_2(s) dY_{1s})$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\int_0^t ds \exp(\alpha B_s^{(\mu)})}, t > 0 \right\} \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_0^t ds \exp(\alpha B_s^{(\mu)})} + \frac{1}{\int_0^\infty ds \exp(\alpha \tilde{B}_s^{(\mu)})}, t > 0 \right\}$$

where, on the RHS, $B^{(\mu)}$ denotes a Brownian motion with positive drift $(-\mu)$, and $\tilde{B}^{(\mu)}$ a copy of $B^{(\mu)}$, assumed to be independent of $B^{(\mu)}$.

(1.2) Our motivation to develop this case study of enlargements come from two origins, at least:

a) there is presently a lot of interest in Mathematical Finance to study how inside trading may modify the pricing framework on a given market; mathematically, this may be translated in terms of an enlargement of filtration;

b) we hope the present study may help us to develop further extensions of Pitman's theorems just as [3] led us to [3']

2. Proof of Theorem 2.

(to be developed more explicitly)

(2.1) The presentation of the initial enlargement formula given in Chapter 12, p. 33-34 of [7] applies (with only one change, made necessary by the fact that our filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is generated by a 2D-Brownian motion instead of a 1D one).

We may summarize this presentation as follows:

denoting $\phi_x(t) \equiv \phi(t, x)$ the density of the conditional distribution of X given \mathcal{F}_t , and writing:

$$\phi_x(t) = \phi_x(0) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t (\rho_1(s, x) dB_s + \rho_2(s, x) d\gamma_s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t [\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2](s, x) ds \right\}$$

a generic $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ martingale:

$$M_t \equiv \int_0^t (m_1(s) dB_s + m_2(s) d\gamma_s)$$

is decomposed in $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ as:

$$M_t = \hat{M}_t + \int_0^t ds \left\{ m_1(s) \rho_1(s, X) + m_2(s) \rho_2(s, X) \right\}$$

thus, in order to prove theorem 2, it only remains to find ρ_1 and ρ_2 .

(2.2) the process $\{\phi_x(t); t \geq 0, x\}$ of conditional densities given $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ is now found to be (with the help of theorem 1):

$$\phi_x(t) = \frac{1}{e_t^{(\mu)}} \varphi\left(\frac{x - X_t}{e_t^{(\mu)}}\right), \text{ where: } e_t^{(\mu)} = \exp(B_t^{(\mu)}).$$

Note, in particular, that this provides an interesting family of $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ martingales.

Next, ρ_1 and ρ_2 are obtained after writing $\{\phi_x(t), t \geq 0\}$ in exponential form; one finds:

$$\rho_1(s, x) = -\psi\left(\frac{x - X_s}{e_s^{(\mu)}}\right), \text{ and } \rho_2(s, x) = -\varphi\left(\frac{x - X_s}{e_s^{(\mu)}}\right),$$

which completes the proof of theorem 2.

3. A discussion of the enlargement formula (3).

To start with, we would like to compare formula (3) with the (enlargement) formula for the filtration of $(B_t, t \geq 0)$, enlarged with:

$$A^{(\mu)} = A_{\infty}^{(\mu)} = \int_0^{\infty} ds \exp(2B_s^{(\mu)}),$$

which is presented in [3], where it reads as follows:

$$(5) \quad B_t^{(\mu)} = B_t^{*(-\mu)} - \int_0^t ds \frac{\exp(2B_s^{(\mu)})}{(A^{(\mu)} - A_s^{(\mu)})}$$

with the notation $\{B_t^{*(-\mu)}\}$ for an $\mathcal{F}_t^* \equiv \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma\{A^{(\mu)}\}$ Brownian motion.

(at this point, we warn the reader that in [3], the negative drift is denoted by $(-\mu)$, so that μ in [3] is changed in $(-\mu)$ here). We now make several comparisons between formulae (3) and (5).

(3.1) Let us consider the case $\gamma=0$ in Theorem 2, so that the function ψ becomes:

$$\psi_0(z) = (2\mu) + \frac{1-2\mu}{1+z^2}$$

Let us further remark that formula (5) is also the enlargement formula in $\mathcal{G}_t^* = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(A^{(\mu)}) \vee \sigma(\hat{\gamma}_u, u \geq 0)$, where $(\hat{\gamma}_u, u \geq 0)$ denotes the Dubins-Schwartz Brownian motion associated with the martingale:

$$X_t^{(\mu)} = \int_0^t d\hat{\gamma}_s \exp(B_s^{(\mu)}) = \hat{\gamma}_{A_t^{(\mu)}}$$

Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(X_\infty^{(\mu)}) \subset \mathcal{G}_t^*$, for any t , in order that formulae (5) and (3) be coherent, the following conditional expectation relation must hold:

$$E \left[\frac{\exp(2B_s^{(\mu)})}{A_t^{(\mu)} - A_s^{(\mu)}} \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_s \right] = \frac{(1-2\mu)}{1 + \left(\frac{X_t - X_s}{e_s^{(\mu)}} \right)^2}$$

or equivalently, since $(e_s^{(\mu)})^2 = \exp(2B_s^{(\mu)})$ is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_s$ measurable

Est-ce que m'ds le cas $\gamma \neq 0$, on ne pourrait pas (dans certains cas) Comparaison??

$$E \left[\frac{1}{A_t^{(\mu)} - A_s^{(\mu)}} \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_s \right] = \frac{(1-2\mu)}{(e_s^{(\mu)})^2 + (X_t - X_s)^2}$$

Pour cela, il faudrait probablt faire le grossissement

This relationship should follow from the known facts: conditionally on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_s$, the pair $(X_t - X_s, A_t - A_s)$ is Conjoint distributed as $(e_s^{(\mu)} \sqrt{H} N, (e_s^{(\mu)})^2 H)$, where $H = \frac{1}{2\gamma\mu}$, and N is $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$

To be checked precisely...

independent from H . donc la loi nous est tj
~~RAA~~ ~~ATS~~ (avec $A_t^{(\mu)}$ $A_t^{(\mu,1)}$)

References:

6)

- [1] P. Baldi, E. Casadio-Tarabusi, A. Fija-Talamanca, M. Yor:
Non-symmetric hitting distributions on the hyperbolic/plane
and subordinated perpetuities - In preparation ^{half-} (March 1999).
- [2] N.L. Johnson, S. Kotz: Continuous univariate distributions 1.
Wiley (1970)
- [3] H. Matsumoto, M. Yor: A relationship between Brownian motions
with opposite drift. Preprint (1998).
- [4] K. Pearson: Contributions to the mathematical theory of evolution, II.
Skew variation in homogeneous material.
Phil. Trans. of the Royal Soc. of London, Series A, 186,
p. 343 - 414 (1895).
- [5] E. Wong: The construction of a class of stationary Markov
processes.
Amer. Math. Soc. Proceedings of the 16th Symposium
of App. Math., p. 264-276.
- [6] M. Yor: The distribution of a subordinated perpetuity,
and its relation with Brownian and Bessel meanders.
Preprint (1999).
- [7] M. Yor: Some Aspects of Brownian motion - Part 2:
Some recent martingale problems -
Lectures in Maths. ETH Zurich - Birkhäuser (1997).
- [3'] H. Matsumoto, M. Yor: "Our real paper".