

On a local time formula of D. Williams , via filtering theory .

M. Yor.

1 Introduction. (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is the reference probability space.

The following result is well known to Brownian motion (students).

Theorem 1 Let $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a real-valued Brownian motion , starting at $x=0$ (for simplicity).

Define $A_t = \int_0^t 1_{(B_s > 0)} ds$, and $\tau_t = \inf \{ s / A_s > t \} (t \geq 0)$.
Then, $\tilde{B} = \begin{cases} B_{\tau_t} \\ \text{def} \end{cases} (t \geq 0)$ is a reflecting Brownian motion.

Using the previous notation , define (B_t) (resp: (\tilde{B}_t)) to be the natural filtration of B (resp: \tilde{B}), and if $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the local time at 0 of B , note $L'_t = \frac{1}{2} L_{\tau_t}$. A complement to Theorem 1 is :

(1) (L'_t) is the local time at 0 of (\tilde{B}_t) ,

which is probably better expressed , to avoid any confusion concerning multiplicative constants , as :

(1') $(\tilde{B}_t - L'_t)$ is a (\tilde{B}_t) martingale

More information about the couple $(\tilde{B}_t, \tau_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is contained in the following formula, due to D. Williams []:

for all $t \geq 0$, and $\alpha > 0$, one has

$$(2) \quad E \left(\exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \tau_t \right) \mid \tilde{B}_{\infty} \right) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} t - \alpha L'_t \right\}$$

In Vol. 1 of his book [], D. Williams writes (p. 154) that formula (2) is one way of introducing local time from global considerations. We regard the following theorem as a translation of the previous sentence (we note: $\Delta \tau_t = \tau_t - \tau_{t-}$)

Theorem 2 : For any $\alpha > 0$, the process: $\sum_{(s \leq t)} \{ 1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} (\Delta \tau_s)} \} - \alpha L'_t$ is a (\tilde{B}_t) martingale.

Remark The statement of Theorem 2 is easily extended, using density arguments, as: for any $f \in L^1((0, \alpha); n(dx))$, the process: $\sum_{(s \leq t)} f(\Delta \tilde{G}_s) - L'_t n(f)$ is a $(\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{G}_t})$ martingale, where $n(dx)$ is the positive measure on $((0, \infty); \mathcal{B}(0, \infty))$ defined by $n(dx) = (2\pi x^3)^{-1/2} dx$, once one remarks ~~that~~: $\int n(dx) [1 - e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}] = \infty$, for any $\alpha > 0$. \square

After proving Theorem 2 in §3, we show, in §4, that formula (2), and theorem 2 can be deduced from each other, making only use of simple 'facts'. To prove that Theorem 2 implies formula (2), we shall use a) (semi-martingale) projection formula from (non-linear) filtering theory.

2. Some precisions about theorem 1.

N. El Karoui and M. Mancini [] have given a very ~~short~~ nice proof of Theorem 1, which is recalled here:

Tanaka's formula writes (3) $B_t^+ = \int_0^t 1(B_s > 0) dB_s + \frac{1}{2} L_t$.

Note $X_t = \int_0^t 1(B_s > 0) dB_s$. It is, of course, a (\mathcal{B}_t) continuous martingale, with $\langle X, X \rangle_t = \int_0^t 1(B_s > 0) ds = A_t$.

Dubins and Schwarz [] tell us that $X_{\tilde{G}_t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta_t$ is a $(\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{G}_t})$ Brownian motion. Moreover, one gets, from formula (3):

$$(3) \quad \tilde{B}_t = \tilde{B}_{\tilde{G}_t}^+ = \beta_t + L'_t.$$

Now, (\tilde{B}_t) is a non-negative, continuous process, and (L'_t) is a continuous increasing process such that dL'_t is carried by $\{s / \tilde{B}_s = 0\}$.

N. El Karoui and M. Mancini remark that these properties combined with (3) imply: (4) $\tilde{B}_t = \beta_t + \sup_{(s \leq t)} (-\beta_s)$.

On the other hand, Tanaka's formula also gives:

(5) $|B_t| = \gamma_t + L_t$,
 where $\gamma_t = \int_0^t \lambda_B(B_s) dB_s$ is a (\mathcal{B}_t) Brownian motion.
 The arguments used previously for $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t)$ imply:

$$|B_t| = \gamma_t + \sup_{(s \leq t)} (-\gamma_s).$$

Consequently, $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t)$ and $(|B_t|)$ have the same distribution \square .

We now add more useful complements to theorem 1; these are well-known properties of reflecting Brownian motion.

Proposition.

(i) $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t)$ is the natural filtration of the (\mathcal{B}_t)

Brownian motion

$$\beta = (\beta_t).$$

(ii) The space of square integrable $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t)$ martingales

is identical to:

$$c + \int_0^{\cdot} \varphi(s) d\beta_s,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and φ is a ${}^0(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t)$ predictable process such that

$$E\left(\int_0^\infty \varphi^2(s) ds\right) < \infty.$$

Consequently, it consists of (\mathcal{B}_t) martingales.

(iii) for every t , \mathcal{B}_t and \mathcal{B}_s are conditionally independent, given $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t$.

3 Proof of theorem 2.

Let $\alpha > 0$. Using Tanaka's formula (5), and Ito's formula, we deduce that:

$$(6) \quad M_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp \left\{ -\alpha |B_t| - \frac{\alpha^2 t}{2} \right\} + \alpha \int_0^t \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2} \right) dL_s$$

is a ~~martingale~~ (\mathcal{B}_t) martingale.

Moreover, as (M_t) is the sum of a bounded process, and of an increasing process, it is uniformly integrable.

As a consequence of (6),

$$(7) \quad M_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t} = \exp \left\{ -\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t - \frac{\alpha^2 t}{2} \right\} + (2\alpha) \int_0^t \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2} \right) dL_s$$

is a uniformly integrable $(\mathcal{B}_{\bar{\zeta}_t})$ ~~integrable~~ martingale.

Developing the exponential form with the help of Itô's formula, and (3), one gets:

$$(8) \quad M_{\bar{\zeta}_t} = \left\{ (\mathcal{B}_{\bar{\zeta}_t}) - \text{local martingale} \right\} + \int_0^t \exp \left\{ -\alpha \bar{B}_s - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \bar{\zeta}_s \right\} dC_s,$$

with:

$$(9) \quad C_t = \sum_{s \leq t} \left\{ \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \Delta \bar{\zeta}_s \right) - 1 \right\} + \alpha L'_t$$

(use the fact that: $\bar{\zeta}_t = t + \sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta \bar{\zeta}_s)$).

As $\exp \left\{ -\alpha \bar{B}_s - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \bar{\zeta}_s \right\}$ is a strictly positive $(\mathcal{B}_{\bar{\zeta}_t})$ predictable process, one deduces from (8), that (C_t) is a $(\mathcal{B}_{\bar{\zeta}_t})$ local martingale, thus proving Theorem 2.

On a local time formula of D. Williams, via filtering theory
 (Suite et fin). M. Yor.

II - Equivalence of formula (2) and theorem 2.

4.1) We first deduce formula (2) from Theorem 2.

Fix $\alpha > 0$. Again using formula (3), and Ito's formula, we obtain :

$$(10) \quad N_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp \left\{ \alpha \tilde{B}_t - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} C_t \right\}$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^t N_s \alpha d\beta_s + \int_0^t N_{s-} dC_s.$$

Consequently, (N_t) is a (\mathcal{B}_{C_t}) local martingale, since, from Theorem 2, (C_t) is a (\mathcal{B}_{C_t}) martingale. From Jensen's inequality, and the finiteness of $E(\exp \alpha \tilde{B}_t) = E(\exp \alpha |B_t|)$, it is easy to see that (N_t) is, indeed, a (\mathcal{B}_{C_t}) martingale, such that $E(N_t^2) < \infty$, for every t .

The following lemma, which is a simple consequence of the proposition, may be found under a more or less hidden form in any course on filtering theory.

Lemma. Let (V_t) be a (\mathcal{B}_{C_t}) martingale such that for all T 's,

$E(V_T^2) < \infty$, and (\hat{V}_t) its (\mathcal{B}_t) optional projection.

Then, a) there exists a (\mathcal{B}_{C_t}) optional process (v_t) such that $d\langle V; \beta \rangle_t = v_t dt$, and for any T , $E\left(\int_0^T v_s^2 ds\right) < \infty$.

b) If \hat{v} is the conditional expectation of v with respect to the measure $(d\hat{d}P)$, given the $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t)$ optional σ -field, one has:

$$(11) \quad \hat{V}_t = V_0 + \int_0^t \hat{v}_s d\beta_s. \quad \square$$

We apply the lemma with $V \equiv N$.

Then, formula (10) yields: $v = \alpha N$, and therefore, formula (11)

needs : (12) $\hat{N}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \hat{N}_s \alpha d\beta_s$,
 which implies, as is well-known from the theory of linear
stochastic differential equations:

$$(13) \quad \hat{N}_t = \exp \left\{ \alpha \beta_t - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} t \right\}$$

On the other hand, from the definition of (N_t) (formula(10)), and part
 (iii) of the proposition, one has :

$$(14) \quad \hat{N}_t = \exp \left\{ \alpha \tilde{B}_t \right\} E \left(\exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \tilde{G}_t \right) \middle| \tilde{B}_\infty \right)$$

Formula (2) now appears as a mere consequence of the comparison of
 (13) and (14), using again (3).

4.2) Conversely, we now admit formula (2).

By (N_t) , we still mean the process $\left(\exp \left\{ \alpha \tilde{B}_t - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \tilde{G}_t \right\} \right)_{t \geq 0}$.

Formula (2) tells us that the equality (13) holds. Therefore,

(\hat{N}_t) is a (\tilde{B}_t) martingale ; in particular, for all $t \geq 0$, $E[N_t] = E[\hat{N}_t] = 1$.

Moreover, since (N_t) is the (\tilde{G}_t) -time changed (B_t) positive
 martingale $\exp \left\{ \alpha \tilde{B}_t - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} t \right\}$, it is a $(B_{\tilde{G}_t})$ supermartingale.

Its expectation being constantly equal to 1, it is a $(B_{\tilde{G}_t})$ martingale.

Formula (10) now implies that (C_t) , defined by (9), is a $(B_{\tilde{G}_t})$
 local martingale, implying in turn theorem 2 \square .

References.

[1] N. El Karoui et M. Maurel: Un problème de réflexion et ses applications au temps local et aux équations différentielles stochastiques sur R. Les continus.
 Soc. Math. France. Astérisque 52-53 (1978), p. 117-144.

[2] L. Dubins et G. Schwartz:

[3] D. Williams: Diffusions, Markov processes and Martingales
 Vol 1: Foundations. J. Wiley (1979).

[4] D. Williams: Markov properties of Brownian local time
 Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75, 1035-6 (1969).